



DENTAL IMPLANTS: IMPRESSIONS

The accuracy of reverse scan body technique for capturing full-arch digital implant impressions: An in vitro study [Accessible from the Wiley link on this page]	J Prosthodont 2026; online 7 Sept doi.org/10.1111/jopr.70235
In vivo assessment of complete-arch implant impressions using a clinical seating score: a proof-of-principle case report [Accessible from the Wiley link on this page]	J Esthet Restor Dent 2026; online 21 August: jerd.70254
In vivo complete arch implant impressions: comparison of the linear precision of digital and conventional techniques	Int Dent J 2026; 76(4): 109612
Effect of implant angulation on digital impression accuracy of three-unit implant-supported restorations	Int Dent J 2026; 76(3): 109553
Accuracy of polyvinyl siloxane ether and other impression materials in full-arch implant rehabilitation with varying angulations: A comparative in vitro study	Dent Mater J 2025; Dec 04
Trueness of extraoral photogrammetry, intraoral photogrammetry and direct intraoral scanning in the full-arch digital implant impression: a comparative in vitro study	J Dent 2025; Nov 27. 106269
Pick-up impression and transfer occlusion technique: A simplified method of transferring information from a complete arch implant-supported interim prosthesis to a definitive prosthesis [free to members on Science Direct. If you do not have a login email library@bda.org and to request one]	J Prosthet Dent 2025. Oct 10
Enhancing the accuracy of full-arch digital implant impressions via segmentation: An in vitro study	J Prosthodont 2025; Sep 08
Comparative analyses of accuracy between digital and conventional impressions for complete-arch implant-supported fixed dental prostheses- A systematic review and meta-analysis. [Review] [Accessible from the Wiley link on this page]	J Prosthodont 2025; Jul 14
A tray-less impression technique: An alternative to open-tray or closed-tray impression techniques for complete arch implant-supported fixed dental prostheses [free to members on Science Direct. If you do not have a login email library@bda.org and to request one]	J Prosthet Dent 2025; May 13
Accuracy of one-versus two-phase photogrammetry implant impression system: an in-vitro study	Saudi Dent J 2025; 37 (7-9): 42
Impact of scan body splinting on the accuracy of complete-arch digital implant impressions	J Dent Sci 2025; 20 (4): 2189-2194
Effect of the number and angulation of implants on the accuracy of digital impression in completely edentulous arches [can be accessed on DOSS free by logging in on this page]	Int J Prosthodont 2025; 38 (6): 665-676



DENTAL IMPLANTS: IMPRESSIONS

A digital approach to creating a positioning tray for a multiple implant impression and its clinical application: A dental technique	J Prosthet Dent 2025; 134 (5): 1499-1503
Clinical evaluation of closed tray impression and intraoral scanning techniques in single posterior tissue-level implant-supported crowns: a self-controlled case study [free to members on Science Direct. If you do not have a login email library@bda.org and to request one]	J Prosthet Dent 2025; 134 (5): 1763-1770
Effect of auxiliary devices on the accuracy of complete-arch implant digital impressions: An in vitro study	Int Dent J 2025; 75 (6): 103950
Effect of using scan body accessories and inter-implant distances on the accuracy of complete arch implant digital impressions: An in vitro study [Accessible from the Wiley link on this page]	J Prosthodont 2025; 34 (8): 827-835
Effect of scanned arch, level of impression, and impression technique on the accuracy of impressions for complete-arch implant-supported fixed dental prostheses [free to members on Science Direct. If you do not have a login email library@bda.org and to request one]	J Dent 2025; 162: 106049
Influence of horizontal intraoral scan bodies on the trueness of digital impressions for complete-arch prostheses on four implants: an in vitro evaluation	Clin Oral Impl Res 2025; 36 (10): 1287-1295
Accuracy of intraoral scanning vs analog impression for prostheses supported by multiple implants in long edentulous spans: a comparative clinical study [can be accessed on DOSS free by logging in on this page]	Int J Prosthodont 2025; 38 (5): 511-517
Effect of horizontal and vertical intraoral scan bodies on the trueness of complete-arch digital implant impressions: a comparative in vitro study with six implants [Accessible from the Wiley link on this page]	Clin Oral Implants Res 2025; 36 (9): 1136-1145
Clinical outcomes of digital scans versus conventional impressions for implant-supported fixed complete arch prostheses: A systematic review and meta-analysis [free to members on Science Direct. If you do not have a login email library@bda.org and to request one]	J Prosthet Dent 2025; 134 (2): 346-355
In vitro comparison between complete arch abutment-level implant impressions with photogrammetry, grammetry, and intraoral scanning [free to members on Science Direct. If you do not have a login email library@bda.org and to request one]	J Prosthet Dent 2025; 134 (3): 782.e1-782.e7
Accuracy of digital impression methods for capturing the peri-implant emergence profile: a systematic review	Clin Oral Implants Res 2025; 36 (8): 930-943
Accuracy of a novel intraoral photogrammetry technique for complete-arch implant impressions: an in vitro study [Accessible from the Wiley link on this page]	Clin Oral Implants Res 2025; 36 (8): 991-999
Accuracy of impression scan bodies for complete arch fixed implant-supported restorations [free to members on Science Direct. If you do not have a login email library@bda.org and to request one]	J Prosthet Dent 2025; 134 (2): 425-432



DENTAL IMPLANTS: IMPRESSIONS

Photogrammetry versus intraoral scanning in complete-arch digital implant impression: a systematic review and meta-analysis	Clin Implant Dent Relat Res 2025; 27 (3): e70059
Accuracy of digital versus conventional implant impressions in partially dentate patients: A systematic review and meta-analysis [Review]	J Dent 2025; 160: 105918
Intraoral scanning versus conventional impression for implant prostheses: a systematic review (request using https://www.smartsurvey.co.uk/s/PJHVMV/)	Eur J Prosthodont Restor Dent 2025; 33 (2): 206-218
Comparative accuracy of digital impressions using universal dual-purpose scan jigs for maxillary implant full arch impressions: An in vitro study [Accessible from the Wiley link on this page]	J Prosthodont 2025; 34 (5): 520-526
Optimal impression materials for implant-supported fixed complete dentures: A systematic review and meta-analysis [free to members on Science Direct. If you do not have a login email library@bda.org and to request one]	J Prosthet Dent 2025; 133 (5): 1172-1182
The influence of the superimposition procedure and type of intraoral impression on the superimposition accuracy of CBCT scans with dental impressions in implant planning: an in-vitro study	Int J Implant Dent 2025; 11 (1): 26
Full-arch digital implant impression trueness: An in vitro study [Accessible from the Wiley link on this page]	Clin Oral Implants Res 2025; 36 (5): 640-649
Prosthetic soft tissue management in esthetic implant restorations, part ii: post-surgical considerations and impression techniques. a narrative review [Review]	Clin Exp Dent Res 2025; 11 (1): e70097
Intra oral photogrammetry: trueness evaluation of novel technology for implant complete-arch digital impression in vitro	Clin Implant Dent Relat Res 2025; 27 (3): e70049
Effect of reusing impression posts and scan bodies on recording accuracy [free to members on Science Direct. If you do not have a login email library@bda.org and to request one]	J Prosthet Dent 2025; 133 (5): 1324.e1-1324.e7
Accuracy of digital and conventional implant impressions in edentulous jaws: A clinical comparative study	J Dent 2025; 153: 105559
Accuracy of photogrammetry, intraoral scanning, and conventional impression for multiple implants: an in vitro study [Accessible from the Wiley link on this page]	Clin Implant Dent Relat Res 2025; 27 (1): e13419
Update on the accuracy of digital implant impressions: A coordinate-based analysis [can be accessed on DOSS free by logging in on this page]	Int J Prosthodont 2025; 38 (1): 104-110
Evaluating the impact of scan body angulation and geometric attachments on the accuracy of complete-arch digital implant impressions: A comparison of two intraoral scanners [Accessible from the Wiley link on this page]	J Prosthodont 2025; 34 (2): 174-181



DENTAL IMPLANTS: IMPRESSIONS

Accuracy of intraoral photogrammetry versus direct digital implant impressions in the fully edentulous lower jaw: An in vitro study [free to members on Science Direct. If you do not have a login email library@bda.org and to request one]	J Dent 2025; 156: 105654
Trueness and passivity of digital and conventional implant impressions in edentulous jaws: a prospective clinical study [Accessible from the Wiley link on this page]	Clin Implant Dent Relat Res 2025; 27 (2): e70022
Comparison of different intraoral scanners with prefabricated aid on accuracy and framework passive fit of digital complete-arch implant impression: an in vitro study [Accessible from the Wiley link on this page]	Clin Oral Implants Res 2025; 36 (1): 17-27
Effects of the intraoral scanner and implant library on the trueness of digital impressions in the full-arch implant scan: A comparative in vitro study	J Dent 2024; 150: 105336
Effect of cut-out rescanning protocol on the accuracy of digital impressions in convergent implants positioned at varying depths: An in vitro study	J Dent 2024; 150: 105325
The accuracy of conventional versus digital (intraoral scanner or photogrammetry) impression techniques in full-arch implant-supported prostheses: a systematic review [Log in to the BDA home page and follow the link to the BDJ Portfolio to access]	EBD 2024; 25 (4): 216-217
Accuracy of traditional open-tray impression, stereophotogrammetry, and intraoral scanning with prefabricated aids for implant-supported complete arch prostheses with different implant distributions: An in vitro study [free to members on Science Direct. If you do not have a login email library@bda.org and to request one]	J Prosthet Dent 2024; 132 (3): 602.e1-602.e9
Comparison of intraoral scanning modes in three distinct full- arch digital implant impression scenarios: an in vitro study [can be accessed on DOSS free by logging in on this page]	Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 2024; 39 (6): 217-222
Calibrated intraoral scan protocol (CISP) for full-arch implant impressions: An in vitro comparison to conventional impression, intraoral scan, and intraoral scan with scan-aid	Clin Implant Dent Rel Res 2024; 26(5): 879-888

Does intra-oral scan improve the impression accuracy of full-arch implant-supported prostheses: A systematic review and meta-analysis [Accessible from the Wiley link on this page]	Clin Implant Dent Rel Res 2024; 26(5): 847-861
Trueness of intraoral scanners in different scan patterns for full-arch digital implant impressions [Accessible from the link on this page]	J Oral Implantol 2024; 50(4): 426-430
Accuracy of digital and conventional implant-level impression techniques for maxillary full-arch screw-retained prosthesis: A crossover randomized trial [Accessible from the Wiley link on this page]	Clin Implant Dent Rel Res 2024; 26(4): 714-723



DENTAL IMPLANTS: IMPRESSIONS

Enhancing precision and efficiency in fabricating complete arch screw-retained implant prosthesis: A clinical case report utilizing the Nexus iOS scan gauge system [Accessible from the link on this page]	J Oral Implantol 2024; 50(3): 160-165
Influence of the number of scan bodies on full-arch implant scanning: A comparison of 2 vs 4 implants [Accessible from the link on this page]	J Oral Implantol 2024; 50(2): 104-110
Effect of different impression coping and scan body designs on the accuracy of conventional versus digital implant impressions: An in vitro study [free to members on Science Direct. If you do not have a login email library@bda.org and to request one]	J Dentistry 2024; 146: 105045
Accuracy of conventional impressions and digital scans for implant-supported fixed prostheses in maxillary free-ended partial edentulism: An in vitro study	J Dentistry 2024; 143: 104892
3D accuracy of a conventional method versus three digital scanning strategies for completely edentulous maxillary implant impressions [can be accessed on DOSS free by logging in on this page]	Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 2023; 38(6): 1211-1219
Comparison of functional and esthetic outcomes in digital versus analog rehabilitation of one-piece screw-retained implant crowns placed at second stage surgery	J Prosthodont 2023; 32(9): 793-800
Characteristics of intraoral scan bodies and their influence on impression accuracy: A systematic review	J Esthet Restor Dent 2023; 35(8): 1205-1217
Accuracy of digital implant impressions obtained using intraoral scanners: a systematic review and meta-analysis of in vivo studies. [Review]	Int J Implant Dent 2023; 9 (1): 48
Techniques to improve the accuracy of complete arch implant intraoral digital scans: A systematic review [free to members on Science Direct. If you do not have a login email library@bda.org and to request one]	J Prosthet Dent 2023; 129(6): 844-854
Digital versus conventional full-arch implant impressions: A retrospective analysis of 36 edentulous jaws [Accessible from the Wiley link on this page]	J Prosthodont 2023; 32(4): 325-330
Trueness evaluation of latest generation of intraoral scanners on complete-arch implant impressions [can be accessed on DOSS free by logging in on this page]	Int J Prosthodont 2023; 36 (4): e12
Accuracy of a chairside reverse scanbody workflow for a complete arch implant-supported prosthesis using four intraoral scanners versus a desktop scanner [free to members on Science Direct. If you do not have a login email library@bda.org and to request one]	J Dent 2023; 138: 104717
Effect of intraoral scanner and fixed partial denture situation on the scan accuracy of multiple implants: An in vitro study	Clin Implant Dent Relat Res 2023; 25(3): 502-510
Accuracy of tooth-implant impressions: Comparison of five different techniques	Clin Exp Dent Res 2023; 9 (3): 526-534



DENTAL IMPLANTS: IMPRESSIONS

Comparing the accuracy of full-arch implant impressions using the conventional technique and digital scans with and without prefabricated landmarks in the mandible: An in vitro study [free to members on Science Direct. If you do not have a login email library@bda.org and to request one]	J Dent 2023; 135: 104561
A technique for splinting implant impression posts by using nylon sutures [free to members on Science Direct. If you do not have a login email library@bda.org and to request one]	J Prosthet Dent 2023; 129 (4): 669-670
Effect of splinting scan bodies on trueness of complete-arch implant impression using different intraoral scanners: an in vitro study [can be accessed on DOSS free by logging in on this page]	Int J Computerized Dent 2023; 26 (1): 19-28
Comparison between stereophotogrammetric, digital, and conventional impression techniques in implant-supported fixed and complete arch prostheses: an in vitro study [free to members on Science Direct. If you do not have a login email library@bda.org and to request one]	J Prosthet Dent 2023; 129 (2): 354-362
Accuracy of digital impressions at varying implant depths: An in vitro study [Accessible from the Wiley link on this page]	J Prosthodont 2023; 32 (1): 54-61
Digital assessment of the accuracy of implant impression techniques in free end saddle partially edentulous patients. A controlled clinical trial	BMC Oral Health 2022; 22: Art 486
Accuracy of digital impressions for three-unit and four-unit implant supported fixed dental prostheses using a novel device	J Dental Sci 2022; online 5 Nov doi.org/10.1016/j.jds.2022.10.014
Effect of scan pattern on the accuracy of complete-arch digital implant impressions with two intraoral scanners [can be accessed on DOSS free by logging in on this page]	Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 2022; 37 (4): 731-739
Does splinting the direct copings increase the impression accuracy of two-unit nonparallel implant restorations? A systematic review and meta-analysis [can be accessed on DOSS free by logging in on this page]	Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 2022; 37 (4): 675-679
Digital impression taking for maxillary full-arch restoration with immediate loading: a case report [Accessible from the link on this page]	J Oral Implantol 2022; 48 (2): 125-132
A clinical study comparing digital scanning and conventional impression making for implant-supported prostheses: a crossover clinical trial [free to members on Science Direct. If you do not have a login email library@bda.org and to request one]	J Prosthet Dent 2022; 128 (1): 42-48
In vitro comparison of the accuracy of conventional impression and four intraoral scanners in four different implant impression scenarios [can be accessed on DOSS free by logging in on this page]	Int J Oral Maxillofac Impl 2022; 37(1): 39-48
Different implant subgingival depth affects the trueness and precision of the 3D dental implant position: A comparative in vitro study among five digital scanners and a conventional technique [can be accessed on DOSS free by logging in on this page]	Int J Oral Maxillofac Impl 2021; 36(6): 1111-1120



DENTAL IMPLANTS: IMPRESSIONS

Implant impressions: Tips and tricks for better results	Dental Econ 2021; 111 (11): 56-61
Effect of assistive devices on the precision of digital impressions for implants placed in edentulous maxilla: an in vitro study	Int J Implant Dent 2021; 7: Art 116
Accuracy of full-arch digital implant impressions taken using intraoral scanners and related variables: A systematic review [can be accessed on DOSS free by logging in on this page]	Int J Oral Implantol 2021; 14(2): 157–179
Accuracy of impression techniques with maxillary angled implants using trays and multifunctional guides [can be accessed on DOSS free by logging in on this page]	Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 2021; 36(3): 530–537
Influence of different factors on the accuracy of digital impressions of multiple implants: an in vitro study [can be accessed on DOSS free by logging in on this page]	Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 2021; 36(3): 442-449
Three-dimensional accuracy of conventional versus digital complete arch implant impressions [Accessible from the Wiley link on this page]	J Prosthodont 2021; 30 (2): 163-70
*****	*****
Comparison of three-dimensional accuracy of digital and conventional implant impressions: effect of interimplant distance in an edentulous arch [can be accessed on DOSS free by logging in on this page]	Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 2019; (34): 366-380
Accuracy of casts fabricated by digital and conventional implant impressions [Accessible from the Journal of Oral Implantology link on this page]	J Oral Implantol 2019; 45 (2): 94-99
Accuracy of different implant impression techniques: evaluation of new tray design concept [Accessible from the Wiley link on this page]	J Prosthodont 2019; (28): e682-e687
Comparative in vitro study of the accuracy of impression techniques for dental implants: Direct technique with an elastomeric impression material versus intraoral scanner	Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal 2019; (24): e89-e95
Implant digital impression in the esthetic area [Accessible from the Wiley link on this page]	J Prosthodont 2019; (28): 536-540
Digital vs conventional workflow for screw-retained single-implant crowns: A comparison of key considerations [can be accessed on DOSS free by logging in on this page]	Int J Prosthodont 2018; (31): 577-579
Accuracy of implant abutment level impression with and without impression coping	J Contemporary Dent Pract 2018; (19): 1352-1357
The accuracy of different dental impression techniques for implant-supported dental prostheses: A systematic review and meta-analysis [Accessible from the Wiley link on this page]	Clin Oral Implant Res 2018; (29): 374-392
Digital evaluation of the dimensional accuracy of four different implant impression techniques	Niger J Clin Pract 2018; (21): 1247-1253



DENTAL IMPLANTS: IMPRESSIONS

Precision of digital implant models compared to conventional implant models for posterior single implant crowns: A within subject comparison [Accessible from the Wiley link on this page]	Clin Oral Implant Res 2018; (29): 931-936
Precision and accuracy of a digital impression scanner in full-arch implant rehabilitation [can be accessed on DOSS free by logging in on this page]	Int J Prosthodont 2018; (31): 171-175
Digital workflow for the design and additively manufacture of a splinted framework and custom tray for the impression of multiple implants: A dental technique [free to members on Science Direct. If you do not have a login email library@bda.org to request one]	J Prosthet Dent 2018; (120): 805-811
Accuracy of computerized and conventional impression-making procedures for multiple straight and tilted dental implants [can be accessed on DOSS free by logging in on this page]	Int J Esthet Dent 2018; (13): 550-565
Three-dimensional accuracy of digital implant impressions: effects of different scanners and implant level [can be accessed on DOSS free by logging in on this page]	Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 2017; (32): 70-80
Accuracy of digital vs conventional implant impression approach: a three-dimensional comparative in vitro analysis [can be accessed on DOSS free by logging in on this page]	Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 2017; (32): 792-799
Accuracy of digital impressions of multiple dental implants: an in vitro study [Accessible from the Wiley link on this page]	Clin Oral Implants Res 2017; (28): 648-653
Impression technique for a complete-arch prosthesis with multiple implants using additive manufacturing technologies [free to members on Science Direct. If you do not have a login email library@bda.org to request one]	J Prosthet Dent 2017; (117): 714-720
Accuracy of digital implant impressions with intraoral scanners. A systematic review [can be accessed on DOSS free by logging in on this page]	Eur J Oral Implantol 2017; 10 (Suppl.1): 101-120
Time efficiency, difficulty, and operator's preference comparing digital and conventional implant impressions: a randomized controlled trial [Accessible from the Wiley link on this page]	Clin Oral Implant Res 2017; (28): 1318-1323
In vitro three-dimensional accuracy of digital implant impressions: the effect of implant angulation [can be accessed on DOSS free by logging in on this page]	Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 2017; (32): 313-321
An in vitro study of factors influencing the performance of digital intraoral impressions operating on active wavefront sampling technology with multiple implants in the edentulous maxilla [Accessible from the Wiley link on this page]	J Prosthodont 2017; (26): 650-655
The accuracy of different dental impression techniques for implant-supported dental prostheses: A systematic review and meta-analysis [Accessible from the Wiley link on this page]	Clin Oral Implant Res 2018; 29 (Suppl. 16): 374-39



DENTAL IMPLANTS: IMPRESSIONS

Effect of splinting on dimensional accuracy of impressions made of implants with different subgingival alignments [Accessible from the Wiley link on this page]	J Prosthodont 2017; (26): 48-55
A novel method to evaluate precision of optical implant impressions with commercial scan bodies—An experimental approach [Accessible from the Wiley link on this page]	J Prosthodont 2017; (26): 34-41
Clinical accuracy outcomes of splinted and nonsplinted implant impression methods in dental residency settings [can be accessed on DOSS free by logging in on this page]	Quintessence Int 2016; (47): 843-852
Digital versus conventional implant impressions for edentulous patients: accuracy outcomes [Accessible from the Wiley link on this page]	Clin Oral Implant Res 2016; 27 (4): 465-472
Implant impression techniques for the edentulous jaw: a summary of three studies [Accessible from the Wiley link on this page]	J Prosthodont 2016; 25 (2): 146-150
Patient-centered outcomes comparing digital and conventional implant impression procedures: a randomized crossover trial [Accessible from the Wiley link on this page]	Clin Oral Implant Res 2016; 27 (12): e185-e189
Handling of polyvinylsiloxane versus polyether for implant impressions [can be accessed on DOSS free by logging in on this page]	Int J Prosthodont 2016; (29): 403-405
Comparison of the accuracy of different transfer impression techniques for osseointegrated implants [Accessible from the Journal of Oral Implantology link on this page]	J Oral Implantol 2015; 41 (6): 662-667
Critical appraisal of implant impression accuracies: a systematic review [free to members on Science Direct. If you do not have a login email library@bda.org to request one]	J Prosthet Dent 2015; (114): 185-192
Single-tray impression technique for implant-supported overdentures [Accessible from the Journal of Oral Implantology link on this page]	J Oral Implantol 2015; 41 (5): 609-611
Accuracy of digital versus conventional implant impressions [Accessible from the Wiley link on this page]	Clin Oral Implants Res 2015; 26 (8): 715-719
Accuracy of impressions of multiple implants in the edentulous arch: a systematic review [can be accessed on DOSS free by logging in on this page]	Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 2014; (29): 869-880
Patients' preferences when comparing analogue implant impressions using a polyether impression material versus digital impressions (intraoral scan) of dental implants [Accessible from the Wiley link on this page]	Clin Oral Implants Res 2014; 25 (10): 1113-1118
Impressionless implant-supported restorations with Cerec 4.2 (request using https://www.smartsurvey.co.uk/s/PJHMY/)	Int J Computerized Dent 2014; (17): 159-168
An in vitro evaluation of impression techniques for multiple internal- and external-connection implant prostheses [can be accessed on DOSS free by logging in on this page]	Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 2014; (29): 807-818



DENTAL IMPLANTS: IMPRESSIONS

Accuracy of impressions with different impression materials in angulated implants	Niger J Clin Pract 2013; (16): 279-284
Digital versus conventional implant impressions: efficiency outcomes [Accessible from the Wiley link on this page]	Clin Oral Implant Res 2013; 24 (1): 111-115
Comparison of optical and conventional impression techniques for implant crown fabrication	J Impl Adv Clin Dent 2013; 5 (12): 25-33
Impression techniques for multiple implants: a photoelastic analysis. Part I: Comparison of three direct methods [Accessible from the Journal of Oral Implantology link on this page]	J Oral Implantol 2013; 39 (5): 539-544
Impression techniques for multiple implants: a photoelastic analysis. Part II: Comparison of four acrylic resins [Accessible from the Journal of Oral Implantology link on this page]	J Oral Implantol 2013; 39 (5): 545-549
Complete arch implant impression technique [free to members on Science Direct. If you do not have a login email library@bda.org to request one]	J Prosthet Dent 2012; (107): 405-410
Accuracy of three implant impression techniques with different impression materials and stones [can be accessed on DOSS free by logging in on this page]	Int J Prosthodont 2012; (25): 44-47
A comparative analysis of the accuracy of different direct impression techniques for multiple implants	Aust Dent J 2012; (57): 184-189
Passive fit and accuracy of three dental implant impression techniques [can be accessed on DOSS free by logging in on this page]	Quintessence Int 2012; (43): 119-125
Three-dimensional accuracy of implant and abutment level impression techniques: effect on marginal discrepancy [Accessible from the Journal of Oral Implantology link on this page]	J Oral Implantol 2011; (37): 649-657
Clinical accuracy outcomes of closed-tray and open-tray implant impression techniques for partially edentulous patients [can be accessed on DOSS free by logging in on this page]	Int J Prosthodont 2011; (24): 469-472
Comparison of impression techniques and materials for an implant-supported prosthesis [can be accessed on DOSS free by logging in on this page]	Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 2010; (25): 771-776
Accuracy of impression techniques for an implant-supported prosthesis [can be accessed on DOSS free by logging in on this page]	Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 2010; (25): 715-721
Evaluation of accuracy of multiple dental implant impressions using various splinting materials [can be accessed on DOSS free by logging in on this page]	Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 2010; (25): 38-44
Accuracy of different impression techniques for internal-connection implants [can be accessed on DOSS free by logging in on this page]	Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 2009; (24): 823-830
Accuracy of impression techniques for implants. Part 2 – Comparison of splinting techniques [Accessible from the Wiley link on this page]	J Prosthodont 2009; 18 (2): 172-176



DENTAL IMPLANTS: IMPRESSIONS

Implant cast accuracy as a function of impression techniques and impression material viscosity [can be accessed on DOSS free by logging in on this page]	Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 2008; (23): 669-674
Accuracy of impression techniques for implant. Part 1—Influence of transfer copings surface abrasion [Accessible from the Wiley link on this page]	J Prosthodont 2008; 17 (8): 641-647
Impression techniques for implant dentistry [Log in to the BDA home page and follow the link to the BDJ to access]	Br Dent J 2006; (201): 429-432
Impression techniques and misfit induced strains on implant-supported superstructures: an in vitro study [can be accessed on DOSS free by logging in on this page]	Int J Periodont Restor Dent 2006; (26): 379-385
Accuracy of 2 impression techniques for ITI implants [can be accessed on DOSS free by logging in on this page]	Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 2004; (19): 517-523
Comparison of impression materials for direct multi-implant impressions (request using https://www.smartsurvey.co.uk/s/PJHMY/)	J Prosthet Dent 2000; (83): 323-331